International Journal of Plant Pathology and Microbiology

E-ISSN: 2789-3073 P-ISSN: 2789-3065 IJPPM 2021; 1(1): 39-42 Received: 11-02-2021 Accepted: 02-04-2021

M Geethalakshmi

Assistant Professor, Department of Crop Physiology, Palar Agricultural College Tamil Nadu, Tamil Nadu, India

Dr. P Sangameshwari

Assistant Professor, Department of Agronomy, Palar Agricultural College Tamil Nadu, Tamil Nadu, India

Correspondence Authors: M Geethalakshmi Assistant Professor, Department of Crop Physiology, Palar Agricultural College Tamil Nadu, Tamil Nadu, India

Phosphate solubilising inoculants on (Jumpstart) growth parameters of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)

M Geethalakshmi and Dr. P Sangameshwari

Abstract

Phosphorus (P) plays an important role in several physiological and biochemical activities in plants. P in soils is incapacitate due to formation of impenetrable complexes such as iron and aluminium hydrous oxides and calcium carbonate. Phosphate-solubilizing microorganisms (PSM) play a contributor in insoluble phosphates into soluble forms involves processes of acidulation, ion chelation and exchange reactions. In this experiment was carried out to study the Growth parameters of phosphate solubilising inoculants (JumpStart) on rice variety CO 47 in rice crop. The present study revealed that the treatment P_3S_3 (100% P + JumpStart 10E5) was recorded increased Seedling Growth will be increase in P_3S_3 treatment. The Plant Height will be increased at grain filling (111.67 cm), Root/ Shoot ratio (0.494 mg g⁻¹) and Root Volume will be increased at Active tillering and 50% flowering stage. The uptake of P by plants was found higher in P_3S_3 treatment observed at all stages of crop growth. At 50% flowering stage, phosphorus (69.26 kg ha⁻¹), uptake were observed higher in P_{3S3} treatment than control. This might be due to the significant increment of major Growth Parameters such as Root and Shoot ratio by the application of 100% recommended P with seed treatment of 10E5 JumpStart.

Keywords: Phosphours, PSM, jump start, azophos, growth parameters and rice

Introduction

Phosphorus is an important nutrient required by rice (Kim et al., 1998) ^[13] and it has a defined role in part of the plants, Meiosis, Phospholipids and reproductive parts, metabolisms such as root development, photosynthesis, nutrient transport within the plant, (Rasipour and Asgharzadeh, 2007)^[20]. The judicious and proper use of phosphorous in rice markedly increases the yield and quality of rice. Without adequate supply of plant with phosphorous, plant cannot attain its maximum yield. In the world low level of P is one of the major constraints for rice production. This is particularly apparent under upland conditions commonly characterized by erodible, poorly fertile, badly leached, highly acidic, and Psorption soils, normally with minimum or no fertilizer applied (IRRI, 1997)^[28]. P deficiency is identified as under lowland conditions it's a main factor for restraining the performance of modern rice varieties to approach their optimum yields. P deficiency quick remedy for rice field application of P fertilizers. However, inorganic fertilizers are not always available for rice farmers. Besides, some rice soils having low level of P can also fix it into a highly less soluble mineral. Dobermann et al., (1998)^[4] resulted that more than 90% of the P fertilizer are not easily available to plants. The microorganisms perform an important role in agriculture by supplying nutrients to plants and reduce the demand of chemical fertilizers (Cakmakci et al., 2006)^[2].

The use of P solubilizing microorganisms improves the soil fertility and increase the crop production to fulfil the requirement. Phosphorus solubilising fungus (*Penicillium bilaii*) is a newly isolated soil fungus that has serious effect on solubilisation of phosphorus. The success of *Penicillium bilaii* has been test verified in various crops such as chick pea, wheat, mustard canola, and lentil. Although, the advantage of *Penicillium bilaii* has not been tested in rice crop under machine transplanting system. The present study was conducted at field level to evaluate the performance of different inoculation of *Penicillium bilaii* in combination with three levels of P fertilizer on rice crop.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was carried out in the field, Department of Rice, TNAU, CBE at 2015. Rice (CO 47) variety seed material collected from Department of Rice. Before sowing, three bags (1 kg each) of rice seeds were soaked in 1 day. Seeds are treated with three Population of

Phosphate solubilising inoculants on Jump Start at the rate of 160 Mg / Kg of seeds and add 6 ml of water after that wait for 1 minute as per the treatments and compared with the recommended seed treatment of bio fertilizer like Azophos at the rate of 20g/kg of seeds and control (No seed treatment).

The experiment was formulated in split plot design with three (3) replications. The three Main plot treatments was follows and three (3) different P levels P₁- 0 (%) (0 kg P/ ha), P₂- 50 (%) P (25 kg P/ha) and P₃-100 (%) P, (50 kg P/ ha) (Recommended dose). Five Sub plot seed treatments as S₁- No Seed Treatment, S₂- 10E4 JumpStart (0.00967 mg/kg of seeds), S₃-10E5 JumpStart (0.0967 mg/kg of seeds), S₄- 10E6 JumpStart (9.667 mg/ kg of seeds) and S₅- Azophos at the 20 g/kg of seeds. For field observation and lab study five plants were selected at different growth stages of rice crop.

Results and Discussion

Seedling Growth Parameters

Seedling parameters i.e., root length, shoot length, root volume, number of roots, root shoot ratio, dry weight of seedling and vigour index acid were observed with different seed inoculations of Pencillium bilaii in our study (Table.1). All the parameters were increased with higher P levels and Pencillium bilaii (10E5 JumpStart).Pencillium bilaii released maximum amount of Pi and produced highest amount of IAA and GA results in increase in all parameters reported increased root length, shoot length and vigor index in maize when treated with bacterial strains. However, this study identifies the effects of P. bilaii on gross root morphology (i.e., root length and specific root length) under field conditions in rice crop. In contrary, specific root length of rice seedling was higher in P₃S₃, in line with the findings of that seed treatment with co-inoculation of Azospirillum and Bradyrhizobium increase the SRL of soybean.

The influence of seed inoculants on seedling growth parameters like Shoot length S_3 (18.4 cm), Root length S_3 (14.2 cm), Root Volume S_3 (20.0 cc), No of roots seedling S_3 (18.0), Root/ Shoot ratio S_3 (0.92), Total Dry Weight S_3 (0.37 mg), Specific Root Length (401.4), Vigour Index (7.40), are presented in (Table.1) and found to be influenced by different seed inoculants. Among the different seed inoculants, (*Penicillium bilaii*) recorded higher values followed by S_2 , S_4 , S_5 and S_1 .

Plant height

Plant height is considered as an important trait related to growth and development of a plant. The crop length was significantly increased at different crop stages when the seed was inoculated with *Pencillium bilaii* (JumpStart), a phosphours solubilizing fungus. 100% P fertilizer in combination with S_3 (10E5JumpStart) have recorded

increase in plant height of 20.95 % at grain filling stage compared to control. The increase in plant height might be due to the increase activity of meristematic cells when phosphorus application is increased. Increase in shoot and root length may also be attributed to the production of plant growth promoting substances like IAA, GA and cytokine like substances by solubilizing microorganism. Increased cell elongation and multiplication due to enhanced nutrient uptake by plants following inoculation of P solubilizing microorganisms might have caused the increase in plant height reported positive correlation with phosphorus and plant height. The co-inoculation of Azospirillum and PSB significantly increased the shoot height of foxtail millet over the control. In contrary, Mehrvarz (2008) ^[15] reported that there is no effect of chemical fertilizer and phosphorus soluble microorganism in plant height.

Root parameters

Root characteristics such as root/shoot ratio and root volume, of rice plant at different growth stage had revealed the effect of different P levels and seed inoculation. Mehrvarz *et al.* (2008) ^[15] reported that phosphorus solubilizing bacteria increase root morphological characters like root length, and root volume in aerobic rice plants. On the present study among the different treatments, P_3S_3 (100% P + JumpStart 10E5) recorded large influence on root characteristics, which may be due to interaction effect of Penicillium bilaii with phosphorus levels. In this study related to maximum root volume was reported in P₃S₃ over control treatment (Fig.1). These results are in line with the findings rice in chickpea. The neutral or negative response on root-hair frequency with increasing P concentration reported in spinach, rape, tomato and Arabidopsis. These result are supported with the findings. Anghinoni and Barber (1980) showed that P starvation, rather than its provision, increased root to shoot dry weight ratios in corn (Zea mays L.) found that root to shoot ratio decreased with increasing P fertilization levels in celery. Treatment P₁S₁ (0.706 %) recorded higher root shoot ratio at Active tillering stage (Table 3). Higher root-shoot ratio is often reported for P stressed plants as compared with P sufficient plants. In our study similar reported that under P deficiency condition root - shoot ratio 20- 30 % increase in rice crop. The root growth and development would be more susceptible to declines in soil moisture, nutrients and temperature because of the shallow distribution of the root system in rice reported that phosphorus solubilizing bacteria (Bacillus megaterium) increased root parameters like rooting performances, like root length, dry matter content of root in mint plant. Under low - Phosphorus condition lateral root width will be increase in maize crop.

Table 1: Effect of Phosphorus levels and Jump start on seedling growth characters of rice

Treatments	Shoot length (cm)	Root length (cm)	Root volume (cc)	No. of roots	Root shoot ratio	Specific root length (cm)	Dry weight per seedling(g)	Vigour index
\mathbf{S}_1	15.3	8.8	12	13.0	0.56	1.7	0.24	4.56
S_2	17.2	13.3	18	17.0	0.81	2.6	0.33	6.60
S ₃	18.4	14.2	20	18.0	0.92	2.8	0.37	7.40
S_4	17.1	12.8	17	16.0	0.78	2.4	0.29	5.80
S 5	16.4	12.7	15	15.0	0.72	2.3	0.27	5.40
Mean	16.88	12.36	16.4	15.8	0.758	2.36	0.3	5.952
SEd	0.024	0.034	0.050	0.033	0.0038	0.0072	0.0021	0.001
CD (P= 0.05)	0.054	0.079	0.116	0.075	0.0088	0.0167	0.0049	0.002

 $S_1-No \ seed \ treatment, S_3-10E5 \ JumpStart \ (0.966 \ g/kg \ of \ seed), S_2-10E4 \ JumpStart \ (0.097 \ g/kg \ of \ seed), S_4-10E6 \ JumpStart \ (9.662 \ g/kg \ of \ seed), S_5-Azophos \ (20 \ g/kg \ of \ seed)$

Table 2: Effect of Phosphorus levels and Jump start on plant height (cm / plant) at different stages of rice crop.

Treatments	Active Tillering (40 DAS)	Panicle Initiation (55 DAS)	Flowering (85 DAS)	Grain Filling (105 DAS)	Mean
P_1S_1	42.63	62.12	85.67	92.33	70.69
P_1S_2	46.30	68.60	90.33	100.00	76.31
P ₁ S ₃	48.59	70.72	96.33	104.67	80.08
P_1S_4	45.83	65.55	87.33	96.67	73.85
P1S5	44.55	63.55	86.00	94.67	72.19
P_2S_1	45.90	63.00	87.67	96.00	73.14
P_2S_2	49.90	68.53	92.00	103.33	78.44
P_2S_3	52.53	71.10	99.50	107.33	82.62
P_2S_4	47.10	66.27	90.00	97.67	75.26
P ₂ S ₅	46.14	64.97	89.00	95.00	73.78
P_3S_1	47.06	66.13	92.00	98.33	75.88
P ₃ S ₂	51.00	70.93	98.00	106.00	81.48
P_3S_3	53.55	74.47	103.33	111.67	85.76
P_3S_4	49.35	68.37	97.00	100.33	78.76
P ₃ S ₅	48.64	66.55	96.33	99.67	77.80
Mean	47.94	67.39	92.70	100.24	
S at P SEd	0.969	1.415	1.094	1.601	
CD (P = 0.05)	1.999	2.920	2.257	3.304	

S1-No seed treatment, S3-10E5 JumpStart (0.966 g/kg of seed), S2 - 10E4 JumpStart (0.097 g/kg of seed), S4-10E6 JumpStart (9.662 g/kg of seed), S5 - Azophos (20 g/kg of seed)

Table 3: Effect of Phosphorus levels and Jump start on Root – Shoot (R/S) ratio at different stages of rice

Treatments	Active Tillering (40 DAS)	Panicle Initiation (55 DAS)	Flowering (85 DAS)	Grain Filling (105 DAS)	Mean
P_1S_1	0.706	0.658	0.487	0.434	0.571
P_1S_2	0.577	0.583	0.447	0.415	0.506
P_1S_3	0.562	0.563	0.438	0.393	0.489
P_1S_4	0.591	0.602	0.467	0.400	0.515
P ₁ S ₅	0.668	0.591	0.477	0.422	0.540
P_2S_1	0.650	0.638	0.476	0.421	0.546
P_2S_2	0.576	0.559	0.421	0.400	0.489
P ₂ S ₃	0.531	0.533	0.415	0.381	0.465
P_2S_4	0.605	0.572	0.438	0.415	0.508
P ₂ S ₅	0.638	0.547	0.458	0.428	0.518
P_3S_1	0.618	0.524	0.459	0.395	0.499
P ₃ S ₂	0.528	0.507	0.411	0.371	0.454
P ₃ S ₃	0.494	0.489	0.367	0.359	0.427
P ₃ S ₄	0.551	0.546	0.425	0.404	0.482
P ₃ S ₅	0.583	0.513	0.436	0.403	0.484
Mean	0.592	0.562	0.441	0.403	
S at P SEd	0.0019	0.0017	0.0012	0.00059	
CD (P= 0.05)	0.0026	0.0023	0.0016	0.00121	

 S_1 -No seed treatment, S_3 -10E5 JumpStart (0.966 g/kg of seed), S_2 - 10E4 JumpStart (0.097 g/kg of seed), S_4 -10E6 JumpStart (9.662 g/kg of seed), S_5 - Azophos (20 g/kg of seed)



S₁-No seed treatment, S₃-10E5 JumpStart (0.966 g/kg of seed), S₂ - 10E4 JumpStart (0.097 g/kg of seed), S₄-10E6 JumpStart (9.662 g/kg of seed), S₅ - Azophos (20 g/kg of seed)

Fig 1: Effect of Phosphorus levels and Jump start on root volume (cc) at different stages of rice

Conclusion

I conclude that S_3 - *Penicillium bilaii* (10E5 JumpStart) is the best one compare to other treatment from my research experiment in rice crop.

References

- Adesemoye AO, Kloepper JW. Plant-microbes interactions in enhanced fertilizer-use efficiency. Appl. Microbio. Biotech. 2009;85:1-12.
- 2. Cakmakci R, Donmez F, Aydın A, Şahin F. Growth promotion of plants by plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria under greenhouse and two different field soil conditions. Soil Biol. Biochem. 2006;38:1482–1487.
- Degroot CC, Boogaard RVD, Marcelis LFM, Harbinson J, Lambers H. Contrasting effects of N and P deprivation on the regulation of photosynthesis in tomato plants in relation to feedback limitation. J Exp. Bot. 2003;54:1957-1967.
- 4. Dobermann A, Cassman KG, Mamariland CP, Sheehy JE. Management of phosphorus, potassium and sulphur in intensive irrigated lowland rice. Field Crop Res. 1998;56:113-138.
- Fales FW. The assimilation and degradation of carbohydrate by yeast cells. J Biol. Chem. 1951;193:113-124.
- Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical procedures for Agricultural Research. An IRRI book, Wiley Inter Science publication, John Wile and Sons, New York, USA; c1984. p. 680.
- Pinjari SS, Meshram NA, Jagtap DN, Rathod RR, Sagvekar VV, Bodke PS. Impact of green manuring and growth stimulants on soil properties of organically grown transplanted rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Int. J Adv. Chem. Res. 2020;2(1):33-37. DOI: 10.33545/26646781.2020.v2.i1a.59
- 8. Hiscox JD, Israelstam GF. A method for the extraction of chlorophyll from leaf tissue without maceration. Can. J Bot. 1979;57:1332-1334.
- 9. Shen H, Chen J, Wang Z. Root plasma membrane H+-ATPase is involved in the adaptation of soya bean to phosphorus starvation. J. Exp. Bot. 2006;57:1353-1362.
- Hooley. Gibberellic acid controls the secretion of acid phosphatase in aleurone layers and isolated aleurone protoplasts of Avenafatua. J Exp. Bot. 1984;35:822-828
- Sumekar Y, Widayat D, Riswandi D, Kurniadie D. Effects of metsulfuron methyl herbicide doses on weed suppression, growth and yield of rice (*Oryza* sativa L.). Int. J Agric. Food Sci. 2020;2(1):18-23. DOI: 10.33545/2664844X.2020.v2.i1a.30
- 12. Jeong HL, Chung I, Ryu SS, Park MR, Yun SJ. Differential responses of rice acid phosphatase activities and isoforms to phosphorus deprivation. J Biochem. Mol. Biol. 2003;36:597-602.
- 13. Kim KY, Jordan D, McDonald GA. *Enterobacter agglomerans*, phosphate solubilizing bacteria and microbial activity in soil: Effect of carbon sources. Soil Biol. Biochem. 1998;89:995-1003.
- Kumar V, Punia SS, Lakshminarayana K, Narula N. Effect of phosphate solubilizing analogue resistant mutants of *Azotobacter chroococcum* on *sorghum*. Ind. J Agric Sci. 1999;69:198–200.
- 15. Mehrvarz S, Chaichi MR. Effect of Phosphate Solubilizing Microorganisms and Phosphorus Chemical

Fertilizer on Forage and Grain Quality of Barely (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). Am.-Eur. J Agric. Environ. Sci. 2008;3(6):855-860.

- Muthaiya S. Physiological effects of phosphate inoculants (JumpStart) in maize (*Zea mays* L.). M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis. Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore; c2010.
- Perderson PL, Carafoli E. Ion motive ATPase. I. Ubiquity, properties and significance to cell function. Trends Biochem. Sci. 1987;12:146-150.
- Prasanna R. Physiological impact of phosphate solubilising inoculants (Jump Start) in rice. M.Sc (Agri) thesis. Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore; c2013.
- Ramezan Ali, Khavari N, Farzaneh N, Cobra T. Diverse responses of tomato to N and P deficiency. Inter. J Agric. Biol; c2008. p. 1560–8530.
- Rasipour L, Asgharzadeh NA. Then interaction between the PSB and *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* growth factors, tumor size and uptake of some nutrients in soybean. Agric. Natural Res. Sci. 2007;11(5):40-63.
- 21. Ryan MH, Angus JF. Arbuscular mycorrhizae in wheat and field pea crops on a low P soil: increased Zn-uptake but no increase in P-uptake or yield. Plant Soil. 2003;250:225–239.
- 22. Rychter AM, Randall DD. The effect of phosphate deficiency on carbohydrate metabolism in bean roots. Physiol. Plantarum. 1994;91:383-388.
- 23. Ullrich CI, Novacky AJ, Fisher E, Liittge U. Relationship between energy dependent phosphate uptake and the electrical membrane-potential in (*Lemna gibba* L). J Plant Physiol. 1984;67:797–801.
- Umbreit WW, Burris RH, Stauffer JF. Carbon dioxide and bicarbonate. In: Umbreit WW, Burris RH, Stauffer JF (Eds.). Manometric techniques. 4th Edn. Burgess Publishers; c1964. p. 18-27.
- 25. Wang S, Wu H, Qiao J, Ma L, Liu J, Xia Y, *et al.* Molecular mechanism of plant growth promotion and induced systemic resistance to tobacco mosaic virus by *Bacillus* sp. Biotechnol. 2009;19(10):1250-1258.
- Xie W, Weng G, Zhang Q. Potentical production simulation and optimal nutrient management of two hybrid rice varieties in Jinhua, Zhejiang Province. J Zhejiang Univ. Sci. 2007;8(7):486-492.
- 27. Hartemink AE, Johnston M, Sullivan JN, Poloma S. Nitrogen use efficiency of taro and sweet potato in the humid lowlands of Papua New Guinea. Agic. Ecos. Environ. 2000;79:271-280.
- 28. IRRI (International Rice Research Institute). Annual report for 1972. Los Banos, Philippnes; c1997.